Below are responses to the survey administered after the PRR engagement event on December 1, 2016. There were 44 responses to each of questions 1-6 and several responses to the open-ended questions.

Q1 I am:
- A Student: 43.2%
- Faculty member: 56.8%
(N=44)

Q2 I have been involved in accreditation activities before (N=44)
- Yes: 70.5%
- No: 29.5%

Q3 I found the format of the event to be informative; I now know more about the PRR and accreditation than I did before (N=44)
- I agree: 81.8%
- I disagree: 9.1%
- I neither agree, nor disagree: 9.1%

Q4 I liked the format of the event (N=44)
- Yes: 95.5%
- No: 4.5%

Q5 I intend to visit the Hostos PRR page (N=44)
- Yes: 79.5%
- No: 15.9%
- I'm not sure: 4.5%

Q6 I would like to obtain more information on accreditation and strategic planning (N=44)
- I agree: 79.5%
- I disagree: 4.5%
- I neither agree, nor disagree: 15.5%
Q7 If you chose answer A to question 6, please specify what exactly you would like to learn about accreditation and strategic planning.

1. The future changes
2. I would like to know what topics are being reviewed for the SP
3. I am interested in the list of recommendations and how each is addressed
4. Love the Quiz
5. continue to learn about it & be part of implementation
7. How the changes from last time What would be needed this go around
8. How we decide what our story and focus for presentation in the 50p. Doc. Will be.
9. Please provide strategic plans/operational plans on the website and share outcomes from these plans
10. How the data is collected
11. Assessment
12. All the queries of previous visits of middle states are properly addressed
13. How budget allocations align with SP
14. Relationship between the 2, who can provide input & how
15. How the two are connected
16. Processing and standards
17. Time frame & correct process
18. Want to learn more about linkages between accreditation, strat. Planning, CUNY PMP
19. I am interested in attending the forum and reading our report
20. a timeline of the whole process
21. Time frame
22. Criteria for accreditation
23. We need more information connecting what we do on daily base with this external pressure
24. More about assessment

Q8 Please leave any additional comments you wish to share.

1. Bigger space for next meeting
2. I would like to learn the specific types of documents suggested to support points raised in process
3. Small goals and changes
4. Great job on update & process
5. the set up of the event was awkward. Given the community's entered, I think that chairs instead of tables is more appropriate
6. the setting was ok today, completion agenda needs to be flushed out & further discussed with all staff so that all staff feel included
7. There's a sense from the HCC community that there isn't participation from the right people on the committee.
   (cont'd) May need to address how these members were selected and how you think they will be able to represent everyone
8. Redistribution of campus resources could help
9. Please adjust the microphone levels for some people who sound muffled
10. Thanks for information - Lots of opportunities to share and hear from others
11. I think this was excellent
12. Great job
13. Thank you!